

**From:** Charlotte Dexter <>

**Sent:** 20 February 2023 12:36

**To:** Mckenna Lorna: H&F <>; Licensing HF: H&F <licensing@lbhf.gov.uk>

**Cc:** Overton Adrian: H&F <Belinda Donovan <>

**Subject:** Speech for Supplemental Agenda item from Belinda Donovan 2022/01898/LAPR for 21 Feb 18:30

Dear Lorna

Belinda Donovan has asked me to send you this. I'll be touching on some of these points for her as she is just out of hospital and might attend but cannot speak. I will be representing her and she asks that her 5 minutes are given to me or my representative.

1. Please publish as below.

2. Please send her hearing zoom details when you have them.

Thanks. , this so that she can watch live, but not make her speech. She might be able to answer a question during the question time, from a Sub-Committee member, so she'll be prepared for that.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:**

**Date:** 14 February 2023 at 14:35:29 GMT

**To:**

**Subject:** Speech for tonight

**Reply-To:**

I have just had an operation under general anesthetic and if I do appear on zoom, resemble someone who has lost in a boxing match - I have therefore asked Charlotte Dexter to kindly read out my speech as I feel very strongly that this License should not be granted.

This area should be part of a cumulative impact zone and should be treated as an extra special case - due to COVID restrictions this has not been able to happen. There are enough local off-licence shops and large retailers in the area; we don't need another off-licence, especially one that has such a bad track record and reputation. I understand that the Applicant is the son of the woman who has been banned previously from any licensing activities and the proposed conditions for this present licencing Application 2022//01898/LAPR 51 Fulham Broadway, would ban his mother from the shop at any time when licensing sales or activities are taking place, which could be any time, so effectively, she would effectively be banned by condition from the shop at any time.

Even if the cumulative impact zone had been kept, this Licence will be a disaster for the community - the local Schools have extra worry of their underage pupils being sold alcohol illegally - they have enough to worry about playing catch up after COVID with online classes and the additional worry of protecting their pupils from harm should not be on their agenda. Sales to drunks have also been noted - we should be proud of Fulham Broadway as a town centre, not worried constantly that children are unable to sleep at night because of the constant noise and the worry of street drinkers being lured to the area because they know that they can get that final drink here, or play a part in the pizza for homeless scam that this shop is know for running ( see screen grabs of reviews mentioning this more than once).

I am so relieved that the LBHF head of Safeguarding has written a strong Representation to not grant any licence of any kind for 51 Fulham Broadway. She lays out solid legal arguments for the Committee. Several of us have been working very hard to raise the awareness of LBHF Safeguarding of the danger of some of these rogue licensing applications, especially when there is proof that children have been harmed.

As a Local Councillor until May 2022, I instigated the Shepherds Bush/Askew/Addison cumulative impact zone with the neighbourhood watch community and a resident in a wheel chair who could not

get her wheelchair past a drunk lying on the floor outside her local Sainsburys - Sainsburys was then constantly selling alcohol to drunks and the resident could not buy food.

Please do not allow this proposed license to be granted in any form for 51 Fulham Broadway (neither as a sole trader getting around the same shop name with or without Limited status), especially for the sake of local childrens' continued education.

As a local Ward Councillor for four years around Fulham Broadway I regularly walked every street as all Councillors do, as well as often having coffee with residents - we know our area well - please do not wreck it by granting this rogue trader a licence.

The Applicant promised us at the last hearing in January 2022 that he would pay to the LBHF Council the court costs stipulated by the appeals judge at Westminster Magistrates Court. The Applicant has not paid them nor has he seen fit to get the £12,500.00 paid. He has no plans to resolve this point. Not paying is criminal. Why grant him a licence? How can a proposed Premises Licence Holder promote the prevention of crime when he is skirting the law himself?

Belinda Donovan

Past Cllr for Addison and Town Wards for four terms of Office